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## Plan of the talk:

- Motivation: Extended Higgs-sector of the Standard Model, Improved approximation schemes to effective meson theories
- The 2PI-approximation to the effective action (quick review) The 2PI-Hartree truncation
- General analysis of the renormalisability of the 2PI-Hartree approximation Examples: the $O(N)$ model with one and with two $N$-plets


## Increasing role of scalar fields in particle physics

Inflaton, dark matter, quintessence (cosmological acceleration)
Phantom/Shadow fields: not coupled to SM force-fields and fermion-matter, but could couple to SM-Higgs:
Higgs portal to the phantom world (Patt, Wilczek (2006))

$$
V\left(\phi_{p}, \Phi_{s}\right)=\mu_{s}^{2} \Phi_{s}^{\dagger} \Phi_{s}+\lambda\left(\Phi_{s}^{\dagger} \Phi_{s}\right)^{2}+\mu_{p}^{2} \phi_{p}^{\dagger} \phi_{p}+\lambda_{p}\left(\phi_{p}^{\dagger} \phi_{p}\right)^{2}-\eta \Phi_{s}^{\dagger} \Phi_{s} \phi_{p}^{\dagger} \phi_{p}
$$

General symmetry breaking pattern: $\Phi_{s} \rightarrow v_{s}+H_{s}, \phi_{p} \rightarrow v_{p}+H_{p}$ Consequences (weak coupling analysis):

Mixing of standard and phantom fields in mass eigenstates Invisible Higgs decays

Novel $v_{p}=0$ mechanism for generation of electroweak symmetry breaking (generalised Coleman-Weinberg phenomenon)

General non-perturbative analysis:
Zs. Szép, A.P., Phys. Lett. B642 (2006) 384 Europhys. Lett. 79 (2007) 51001
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All based on
perturbative weak coupling analysis of the Higgs-shadow world coupling.
Interest of applying non-perturbative approaches
(Dyson-Schwinger, 2PI, large $N$, etc.)

## Effective meson theories of low energy

Continued interest in applications to the phase diagram of strong matter see reviews by

Zs. Szép, PoS JHW2005:017,2006
R. Casalbuoni, PoS CPOD2006:001,2006

For refined applications of the linear sigma model see P. Kovács's talk
Attempt to apply 2PI-approximation:
D. Röder, J. Rupert and D.H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. D68 (2003) 016003
D. Röder, J. Rupert and D.H. Rischke, Nucl. Phys. 775 (2006) 127

Quotation prompting this investigation:
"Renormalisation of many-body approximation schemes is non-trivial, but does not change the results qualitatively. We therefore simply omit the vacuum contributions to the loop integrals."
Our results present evidence for:

- Transparent non-perturbative renormalisation scheme exists for 2PI-approximation truncated at the Hartree level.
- Vacuum contributions produce important quantitative modifications in the phase diagram.

Quick outline of the 2PI approximation for 1-component real scalar field

$$
L(\varphi)=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi \partial^{\mu} \varphi-U(\varphi)
$$

2PI-action (Cornwall-Jackiw-Tomboulis, 1974):

$$
\begin{gathered}
V[\phi, G]=U(\phi)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{k} \ln G^{-1}(k)+\frac{1}{2} \int\left(D^{-1}(k, \phi) G(k)-1\right)+V_{2}(\phi, G), \\
D^{-1}(k, \phi)=-k^{2}+U^{\prime \prime}(\phi)
\end{gathered}
$$

Equations of motion:

$$
\frac{\delta V}{\delta \phi(k)}=0, \quad \frac{\delta V}{\delta G(k)}=0 .
$$

Variation with respect to $G(k)$ should reproduce the Dyson-Schwinger equation for $G(k)$ :

$$
G^{-1}(k)=D^{-1}(k)+\Pi(k)
$$

Therefore $V_{2}(\phi, G)$ is constructed from

$$
\Pi(k)=2 \frac{\delta V_{2}(\phi, G)}{\delta G(k)} .
$$

Hartree truncation: Only tadpole contribution is retained to the self-energy
Lagrangean density, including a broad class of scalar models:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L= & \frac{1}{2}\left[\partial_{\mu} \sigma_{a} \partial^{\mu} \sigma^{a}+\partial_{\mu} \pi_{a} \partial^{\mu} \pi_{a}-\left(\mu_{S}^{2}\right)_{a b} \sigma_{a} \sigma_{b}-\left(\mu_{P}^{2}\right)_{a b} \pi_{a} \pi_{b}\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{3} F_{a b, c d}\left(\sigma_{a} \sigma_{b} \sigma_{c} \sigma_{d}+\pi_{a} \pi_{b} \pi_{c} \pi_{d}\right)-2 H_{a b, c d} \pi_{a} \pi_{b} \sigma_{c} \sigma_{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Examples:

$O(N)$ model with $1 N$-plet:

$$
F_{a b c d}=\frac{\lambda}{72 N}\left(\delta_{a b} \delta_{c d}+\delta_{a c} \delta_{b d}+\delta_{a d} \delta_{b c}\right), \quad H_{a b c d}=0 .
$$

$O(N)$ model with $2 N$-plets:

$$
F_{a b c d}^{S}=F_{a b c d}^{P}=\frac{\lambda}{72 N}\left(\delta_{a b} \delta_{c d}+\delta_{a c} \delta_{b d}+\delta_{a d} \delta_{b c}\right), \quad H_{a b c d}=\frac{\lambda}{36 N} \delta_{a b} \delta_{c d}
$$

$U(3) \times U(3)$ model for the meson nonet

$$
\begin{gathered}
M=T^{a}\left(\sigma_{a}+i \pi_{a}\right), a=0, . ., 8 \\
F_{a b c d}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{4}\left(\delta_{a b} \delta_{c d}+\delta_{a c} \delta_{b d}+\delta_{a d} \delta_{b c}\right)+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{8}\left(d_{a b n} d_{n c d}+d_{a d n} d_{n b c}+d_{a c n} d_{n b d}\right) \\
H_{a b c d}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{4} \delta_{a b} \delta_{c d}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{8}\left(d_{a b n} d_{n c d}+f_{a c n} f_{n b d}+f_{b c n} f_{n a d}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

2PI-Hartree effective potential with renormalised couplings plus counterterms in symmetry breaking $\sigma$-background

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{f u l l}=U\left(\bar{\sigma}_{a}\right)+V[\bar{\sigma}, S]+V_{c t}[\bar{\sigma}, S] \\
V\left[\bar{\sigma}_{a}, S_{a b}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~T} r \log S^{-1}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{k}\left(k^{2} \delta_{a b}-m_{R, a b}^{2}\right) S_{a b}+Q_{a b, c d}^{R} \int_{k} S_{a b}(k) \int_{p} S_{c d}(p) \\
U\left(\bar{\sigma}_{a}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \mu_{R, a b}^{2} \bar{\sigma}_{a} \bar{\sigma}_{b}+\frac{1}{3} Q_{a b, c d}^{R} \bar{\sigma}_{a} \bar{\sigma}_{b} \bar{\sigma}_{c} \bar{\sigma}_{d}, \quad m_{a b, R}^{2}=\mu_{R, a b}^{2}+4 Q_{a b, c d}^{R} \bar{\sigma}_{c} \bar{\sigma}_{d} \\
V_{c t}[\bar{\sigma}, S]=\delta U(\bar{\sigma})+-\frac{1}{2} \int_{k} \delta m_{a b}^{2} S_{a b}+\delta Q_{a b, c d} \int_{k} S_{a b}(k) \int_{p} S_{c d}(p) \\
\delta U=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta \mu_{a b}^{2}+\frac{2}{3} \delta \tilde{Q}_{a b, c d} \bar{\sigma}_{c} \bar{\sigma}_{d}\right) \bar{\sigma}_{a} \bar{\sigma}_{b}, \quad \delta m_{a b}^{2}=\delta \mu_{a b}^{2}+4 \delta \hat{Q}_{a b, c d} \bar{\sigma}_{c} \bar{\sigma}_{d}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note the compact notation!

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{a b}=\left\{\left\langle\sigma_{a} \sigma_{b}\right\rangle,\left\langle\pi_{a} \pi_{b}\right\rangle\right\}, & \mu_{a b}^{2}=\left\{\left(\mu_{S}^{2}\right)_{a b},\left(\mu_{P}^{2}\right)_{a b}\right\} \\
Q_{a b c d}^{11}=Q_{a b c d}^{22}=F_{a b c d}, & Q_{a b c d}^{12}=Q_{a b c d}^{21}=H_{a b c d}
\end{array}
$$

Three different 4-point counterterms are allowed to be introduced: $\delta Q, \delta \hat{Q}, \delta \tilde{Q}$ !

The propagator (gap) equations
Variation with respect to $S_{c d}(k)$ :

$$
S_{c d}^{-1}(k)=k^{2} \delta_{c d}-m_{R, c d}^{2}-\delta m_{c d}^{2}+4\left(Q_{a b c d}^{R}+\delta Q_{a b c d}\right) \int_{p} S_{a b}(p) .
$$

The self-energy matrix is momentum-independent: $S_{a b}^{-1}=k^{2} \delta_{a b}-M_{a b}^{2}$ :

$$
M_{c d}^{2}=m_{R, c d}^{2}+\delta m_{c d}^{2}-4\left(Q_{a b c d}^{R}+\delta Q_{a b c d}\right) \int_{p} S_{a b}(p) .
$$

The resulting mass matrix is diagonalised by an orthogonal matrix $O_{c i}$ :

$$
\tilde{M}_{i}^{2} \delta_{i j}=O_{c i} m_{R, c d}^{2} O_{d j}+O_{c i} \delta m_{c d}^{2} O_{d j}-4 O_{c i} O_{d j}\left(Q_{a b, c d}^{R}+\delta Q_{a b, c d}\right) O_{a l} O_{b l} \int_{k} \frac{1}{k^{2}-\tilde{M}_{l}^{2}} .
$$

Separation of the divergent piece of the tadpole integral:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{k} \frac{1}{k^{2}-\tilde{M}_{l}^{2}} \equiv T\left(M_{l}^{2}\right)=T_{d i v}\left(M_{l}^{2}\right)+T_{F}\left(M_{l}^{2}\right), \quad T_{d i v}\left(M_{l}^{2}\right)=\frac{\Lambda^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}+\tilde{M}_{l}^{2} B_{D} \\
& \left(B_{D}=\log \left(e \Lambda^{2} / M_{0}^{2}\right) / 16 \pi^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Renormalisation of the propagator (gap) equations I.

The renormalised matrix gap equation (finite parts of the above):

$$
\tilde{M}_{i}^{2} \delta_{i j}=O_{c i} m_{R, c d}^{2} O_{d j}-4 O_{c i} O_{d j} Q_{a b, c d}^{R} O_{a l} O_{b l} T_{F}\left(M_{l}^{2}\right) .
$$

Condition for the vanishing of the divergent pieces after the substitution of $M_{l}^{2}$ into the coeficient of the logarithmically divergent piece from the renormalised equation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=\delta m_{c d}^{2}-4\left(Q_{a b, c d}^{R}+\delta Q_{a b, c d}\right)\left(\frac{\Lambda^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}} \delta_{a b}+m_{R, a b}^{2} B_{D}\right) \\
+16\left(Q_{a b, c d}^{R}+\delta Q_{a b, c d}\right) Q_{e f a b}^{R} O_{e l} O_{f l} T_{F}\left(\tilde{M}_{l}^{2}\right) B_{D}-4 \delta Q_{e f, c d} O_{e l} O_{f l} T_{F}\left(\tilde{M}_{l}^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Vanishing of the overall divergency (independent of $T_{F}$ ) and of the subdivergencies (the coefficients of each $T_{F}\left(M_{l}^{2}\right)$ ):

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=\delta m_{c d}^{2}-4\left(Q_{a b, c d}^{R}+\delta Q_{a b, c d}\right)\left(\frac{\Lambda^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}} \delta_{a b}+m_{R, a b}^{2} B_{D}\right) \\
0=4 B_{D}\left(Q_{a b, c d}^{R}+\delta Q_{a b, c d}\right) Q_{e f, a b}^{R}-\delta Q_{e f, c d} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Renormalisation of the propagator (gap) equations II.

The overall divergency is split into background independent and background dependent pieces

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta \mu_{c d}^{2}=4\left(Q_{a b, c d}^{R}+\delta Q_{a b, c d}\right)\left(\frac{\Lambda^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}} \delta_{a b}+\mu_{R, a b}^{2} B_{D}\right), \\
\delta \hat{Q}_{c d e f} \bar{\sigma}_{e} \bar{\sigma}_{f}=4 B_{D}\left(Q_{a b, c d}^{R}+\delta Q_{a b, c d}\right) Q_{a b e f}^{R} \bar{\sigma}_{e} \bar{\sigma}_{f}
\end{gathered}
$$

The background dependent condition is equivalent to the previous condition if

$$
\delta \hat{Q}_{c d e f} \bar{\sigma}_{e} \bar{\sigma}_{f}=\delta Q_{c d e f} \bar{\sigma}_{e} \bar{\sigma}_{f}
$$

Compatibility with the equation of state
$0=\bar{\sigma}_{b}\left(\mu_{a b, R}^{2}+\frac{4}{3}\left(Q_{a b, c d}^{R}+\delta \tilde{Q}_{a b, c d}\right) \bar{\sigma}_{c} \bar{\sigma}_{d}-4\left(Q_{a b c d}^{R}+\delta \hat{Q}_{a b c d}\right) \int_{k} S_{c d}+\delta \mu_{a b}^{2}\right)$
The condition for the vanishing of the divergent piece remaining in the difference of the equation of state with the gap equation multiplied by $\bar{\sigma}_{b}$ :

$$
\left(\frac{1}{3} \delta \tilde{Q}_{a b c d}-\delta Q_{a b c d}\right) \bar{\sigma}_{b} \bar{\sigma}_{c} \bar{\sigma}_{d}=0
$$

## Example I: $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$ model with single $N$-plet

Two counterterm coupling is needed for solving the matrix equation of subdivergence cancellation:

$$
\delta F_{a b c d}=\frac{1}{24 N}\left[\delta \lambda_{A} \delta_{a b} \delta_{c d}+\delta \lambda_{B}\left(\delta_{a c} \delta_{b d}+\delta_{a d} \delta_{b c}\right)\right]
$$

Equations for the coefficents:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta \lambda_{A}=\frac{\lambda}{6 N} B_{D}\left[(N+4) \lambda+(N+2) \delta \lambda_{A}+2 \delta \lambda_{B}\right], \\
\delta \lambda_{B}=\frac{\lambda}{3 N} B_{D}\left[\lambda+\delta \lambda_{B}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Parametrisation of the potential energy counterterm:

$$
\delta \tilde{F}_{a b c d}=\frac{\delta \tilde{\lambda}}{24 N}\left(\delta_{a b} \delta_{b c}+\delta_{a c} \delta_{b d}+\delta_{a d} \delta_{b c}\right)
$$

leads to

$$
\delta \tilde{\lambda}=\delta \lambda_{A}+2 \delta \lambda_{B}
$$

## Remarks:

1. The equations for $\delta \lambda_{A}, \delta \lambda_{B}$ coincide with those which can be derived with the method of iterative renormalisation (Blaizot, lancu, Reinosa, 2004) where one looks for the self-energy in form of infinite series:

$$
\Pi_{a b}(k)=\sum_{n} \Pi_{a b}^{(n)}(k), \quad \delta \lambda_{A}=\sum_{n} \delta \lambda_{A}^{(n)}, \quad \delta \lambda_{B}=\sum_{n} \delta \lambda_{B}^{(n)}
$$

and solves the gap equations iteratavely.
2. When $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\delta \lambda_{A}=-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{6} B_{D} \frac{1}{1-\frac{\lambda}{6} B_{D}}, \quad \delta \lambda_{B} \sim \mathcal{O}(1 / N)
$$

which leads to a unique quartic counter coupling $\delta \tilde{\lambda}=\delta \lambda_{A}$ and reproduces the exact result of the leading order large $N$ analysis.

## Example II: $O(N)$ model with 2 interacting $N$-plets

The gap equations for the mass matrix of the $\sigma$ and $\pi$ fields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{S, c d}^{2}=m_{S R, c d}^{2}-4\left(F_{a b, c d}^{R}+\delta F_{a b, c d}\right) \int S_{a b}-4\left(H_{a b c d}^{R}+\delta H_{a b c d}\right) \int P_{a b}+\delta m_{S, c d}^{2}, \\
& M_{P, c d}^{2}=m_{P R, c d}^{2}-4\left(F_{a b, c d}^{R}+\delta F_{a b, c d}\right) \int P_{a b}-4\left(H_{a b c d}^{R}+\delta H_{a b c d}\right) \int S_{a b}+\delta m_{P, c d}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Subdivergence cancellation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 B_{D}\left(F_{a b, c d}^{R}+\delta F_{a b, c d}\right) F_{e f, a b}^{R}+4 B_{D}\left(H_{a b, c d}^{R}+\delta H_{a b, c d}\right) H_{e f, a b}^{R}=\delta F_{e f, c d}, \\
& 4 B_{D}\left(F_{a b, c d}^{R}+\delta F_{a b, c d}\right) H_{e f, a b}^{R}+4 B_{D}\left(H_{a b, c d}^{R}+\delta H_{a b, c d}\right) F_{e f, a b}^{R}=\delta H_{e f, c d}
\end{aligned}
$$

Parametrisation of the counter-coupling matrices:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta F_{a b, c d}=\frac{1}{24 N}\left[\delta \lambda_{A}^{F} \delta_{a b} \delta_{c d}+\delta \lambda_{B}^{F}\left(\delta_{a c} \delta_{b d}+\delta_{a d} \delta b c\right)\right] \\
\delta H_{a b, c d}=\frac{1}{12 N} \delta \lambda^{H} \delta_{a b} \delta_{c d}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example II: $O(N)$ model with 2 interacting $N$-plets

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta \lambda_{A}^{F}=4 B_{D}\left(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{24 N}(5 N+4)+\frac{\lambda}{24 N}(N+2) \delta \lambda_{A}^{F}+\frac{\lambda}{6} \delta \lambda^{H}\right) \\
\delta \lambda_{B}^{F}=8 B_{D} \frac{\lambda}{24 N}\left(\lambda+\delta \lambda_{B}^{F}\right), \\
\delta \lambda^{H}=4 B_{D}\left(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{12 N}(N+2)+\frac{\lambda}{24 N}\left(N \delta \lambda_{A}^{F}+2 \delta \lambda_{B}^{F}\right)+\frac{\lambda}{24 N}(N+2) \delta \lambda^{H}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Large $N$ limit:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \lambda_{A}^{F}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{6} B_{D}\right)-\delta \lambda^{H} \frac{2 \lambda}{3} B_{D}=\frac{5 \lambda^{2}}{6} B_{D}, \\
& -\delta \lambda_{A}^{F} \frac{\lambda}{6} B_{D}+\delta \lambda^{H}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{6} B_{D}\right)=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{3} B_{D} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## CONCLUSIONS (work to be done)

- Analysis of the $U(3) \times U(3)$ meson model
- Possible generalisation to any $N$ and invetigaion of the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit
- Solution of the renormalised gap equations for $N=3$ and the quantitative comparison of the effect of the vacuum fluctuations on its thermodynamics.
- Going beyond the Hartree-approximation

