

Metal(loid) concentrations in fish muscle, intestine and acanthocephalans with respect to different pollution and environmental conditions

Zorana Kljaković-Gašpić

Institute for Medical Research and Occupational Health (IMROH)

THIRD PROJECT MEETING

Integrated evaluation of aquatic organism responses to metal exposure: gene expression, bioavailability, toxicity and biomarker responses (BIOTOXMET)

Zagreb, 19th May 2023











Study area and sampling locations

> Anthropogenic influence caused by unproperly purified industrial effluents from the screw factory situated only 2 km upstream of the beginning of the Krka National Park (KNP).

Most of the watercourse proclaimed National Park in 1985.



Bioindicator organism: brown trout (Salmo trutta Linnaeus, 1758)

Indicator organs/tissues:

- Muscle (as the site of Hg accumulation)
- Intestine \rightarrow site of dietary metal uptake
- Intestinal parasites, acanthocephalans (as effective metal bioaccumulators)

Two seasons: spring and autumn 2021



0

10 km

Main goal

To assess potential differences in accumulation of metal(loid)s under influence of wastewaters in the Krka River, using native fish brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) as biondicator organism

How?

- By measuring mass fractions of 25 metal(loid)s (Al, As, Ba, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Fe, Hg, K, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Ni, Pb, Rb, Se, Sr, Tl, U, V, Zn) in muscle of fish, intestine and intestinal parasites of trout
- Statistical analysis of the data



Methods

Digestion with HNO_3 i H_2O_2 (85 °C; 3.5 h) (Laboratory for Biological Effects of Metals, IRB; in-house method):

1. Fish muscle: 0.3 - 0.35 g 2.50 mL HNO₃ + 1.25 mL H₂O₂

2. Fish intestine: 0.15 - 0.2 g2.50 mL HNO₃ + 1.25 mL H₂O₂

3. Acantocephalans: 0.03 - 0.035 g 2.25 mL HNO₃ + 0.75 mL H₂O₂

Determination of metal(loid)s (IMROH):

inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS)





Agilent 8800

Agilent 7500

Metal(loid)s accumulation: without statistically significant differences



Ca: NO statistically significant differences:

- between tissues,
- between locations,
- or between seasons
- Ca did not follow the patterns of metal concentrations in water samples, which increase downstream

Metal(loid)s accumulation: statistically significant differences



- K: Mus > Int > AC
- Na: AC > Int > Mus
- no spatial diffferences!!!
- no seasonal differences!!!



Metal(loid)s accumulation: statistically significant differences

- Differences between tissues combined with season and location:
- ✓ Metal(loid)s whose concentrations in the intestines were higher than in other tissues: Zn, Mo



Zn: Int > Mus, AC in both seasons

Spatial difference: KRK, KBL > KRS only in spring

Mo: Int > AC > Mus in spring Int > AC, Mus in autumn no spatial differences

> **Zn and Mo** followed the patterns of metal concentrations in water samples

Significant differences: metal(loid)s with mass fractions higher in parasites



<u>Cr, Cu:</u> in AC - KRK > KRS, KBL only in spring

Mg: in AC - KRK > KRS, KBL only in autumn

Cd, Tl: mass fractions followed the pattern of concentrations in water Cr, Cu, Mg: Mass fractions **did not follow** the patterns of metal concentrations in water samples

Significant differences: clear spatial differences

Cs, Rb: KRS > KRK > KBL → mass fractions decrease downstream



> We should compare them with water and sediment values

Significant differences: metal(loid)s whose mass fractions increase downstream



Sr: Mass fractions followed the pattern of concentrations in waterCo: Mass fractions did not follow the patterns of metal concentrations in water samples

Significant differences: metal(loid)s whose mass fractions increase downstream





Spring: KRK, KBL > KRS in Intest and AC Autumn: KRK, KBL > KRS only in AC

Fe: Mass fractions mostly followed the pattern of concentrations in water, especially in spring

Spring: KRS > KBL > KRS in AC (similar to Cd and Tl) Autumn: KBL> KRS, KRK in muscle!!! and intestine

Hg: we could not compare the values with concentrations in water since they were close to or below the DL of the method

Mass fractions in tissues/organisms followed the pattern of concentrations in sediment

Conclusions

- Metal mass fractions in Acantocephalans and intestine in lot of cases pointed to more disturbed environmental conditions in fish from the contaminated site (KRK) with significantly higher concentrations of Al, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Se, Sr and Zn than in fish from KRS
- O Values clearly showed that fish at KBL are also exposed to higher levels of greater part of metal(loid)s → impact on biota even in the Krka National Park.



Need of continuous monitoring of the region in order to protect the biota of the Krka River itself and for a protection of KNP







Zorana Kljaković-Gašpić THIRD MEETING IP-2020-02; Zagreb, 19th May 2023